



University of California at Riverside Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board

Mission

The Campus Community Advisory Board proactively seeks the advice and counsel of a diverse group of campus community members regarding issues that impact the safety and quality of life for the UCR community. The goal of this counsel is to help the police department achieve its mission: to enhance the quality of life by providing a secure and safe environment through professional service to the University community. Suggestions, opinions and counsel delivered by the board to the campus are not binding; the campus will have the final authority to implement board's counsel.

Purpose

The Campus Community Advisory Board will make recommendations to the campus in the areas of:

- Strengthening trust between the police department and campus community
- Campus community concerns and issues
- Perceptions of the campus police department
- Issues affecting public safety on campus and in adjacent neighborhoods
- Crime prevention and reduction programs with an emphasis on community engagement
- Opportunities for improvements of the delivery of police service to the UCR community

Membership

The Campus Community Advisory Board shall be formed to represent students, faculty and staff of UCR. Members will be selected to serve on the advisory board based on their professionalism, integrity, and commitment to serve the UCR community. Members shall treat each other courteously, respect all viewpoints and live up to UCR's Principles of Community <https://chancellor.ucr.edu/documents/community.pdf>.

The board shall be comprised of members that represent, but are not limited to any of the following areas of UCR:

- Chancellor's appointed Chairperson
- Academic Senate Committee on Faculty Welfare
- ASUCR Diversity Council
- Graduate Student Association

- Associated Students of UCR (ASUCR)
- Staff Assembly
- Office of Equal Employment & Affirmative Action
- AVC of Diversity & Inclusion
- Vice Chancellor Student Affairs
- The Office of the Ombudsman(non-voting advisory role)
- UC Chief of Police
- Risk Management
- AVC of Governmental & Community Relations
- UCR Campus Counsel (non-voting advisory role)
- UCPD Chief of Police designee from UCPD
- UCR Dean

Each of the above organizations or units will nominate a representative who is willing to work cooperatively and respectfully in a team environment. Each nomination will be reviewed and approved by the Chancellor. Any member who conducts themselves in a manner that is contrary to the stated goals of the Board or conflicts with UCR Principles of Community may have their membership discontinued by the Chair, with input from the advisory board required before removal. Members selected to the Chief's Campus Community Advisory Board will serve without compensation.

Chairperson

The Chancellor will appoint a member of the committee to chair the Chief's Advisory Board

Meetings

Meetings will be held on a quarterly basis or as the need arises, as determined by the board. Meeting dates and locations will be recommended by the Chair and will be finalized by members to best accomplish the advisory board's goals and schedules. Electronic meeting notifications will be made by the Chair. At the advisory board meetings, members will have the opportunity to provide recommendations to the campus administration and Chief of Police or designee. Per Regents policy 303.1, the Chief of Police is responsible for and has commensurate authority to command, direct, and organize the police department.

Confidentiality

When discussing police matters, the Chief of Police will share information regarding the department's operations and details of particular incidents, so long as the sharing of that information does not jeopardize the prosecution of a criminal, impede an investigation, compromise a crime victim's right to privacy or violate the rights afforded to police officers per Government Code 3300 et seq.

<https://porac.org/resources/peace-officers-bill-of-rights/>.



UCR Campus Community Police Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes
FRIDAY, JULY 24, 2020

Via Zoom | 3:00 – 4:00 p.m.

Meeting was called to order by Board Chair Gerry Bomotti at 3:04 p.m.

In Attendance

X	Gerry Bomotti (Vice Chancellor of Planning Budget & Administration and Chair)	X	Brian Haynes (Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs),
X	Christine Mata (Dean of Students)	X	Andrew Larratt-Smith (Ombudsman)
X	John Freese (Interim Chief of Police)	X	Jeff Kraus (Community & Local Government Relations)
X	David Bergquist (Chief Campus Counsel)	X	Mariam Lam (Associate Vice Chancellor & Chief Diversity Officer)
X	Brian Fedirici (Faculty Welfare Committee)	X	Rose Rouille – proxy for Kristin Branson (Director of Employee & Labor Relations)
X	Ingrid C Fahr (Director of Risk Management)	X	Luis Huerta (ASUCR President)
X	Nichi Yes (Graduate Student Association President)	X	Johnathan Lozano (UCR Staff Assembly)
	Rocio Munante (Diversity Council)	X	Victor Marroquin (Diversity Council, Chicano/Ethnic Resource Centers)
X	Christopher Lynch (Dean, Bourns College of Engineering)	X	Paul Dombrowski – Police Officer, Crime Prevention Detective

1. Number of Members Present: 17

2. Number Absent: 1

Old Business

- Review minutes from June Meeting
 - Committee members did not have any additions or modifications to the previous minutes as submitted.

Updates

- Introduction of each Board member and the organization/department they represent.
- Introduction of Advisory Board guest UCPD Detective Paul Dombrowski
 - Chair Gerry Bomotti introduced Paul Dombrowski as an additional guest for the Committee meetings.
 - Dombrowski is a detective from UCPD and has extensive background and experience with Police Departments.
- Staff Assembly representative Johnathan Lozano has graciously volunteered to take minutes of our meetings

Non-Agenda Items

- Looking towards the future of the UCR Campus Community Police Advisory Board
 - Bomotti brought forth comments from Chancellor Wilcox asking if this current Board is the right mix to discuss current issues or should a new one be created.
 - Background was given on the charge of this community, its birth out of the UCOP recommendation from prior years.
 - It might not be a good idea to have multiple groups looking at the same issue.
 - Expect to hear some updates from the Chancellor on this in the future, what role for this Board will be, if it will be expanded, paused while another comes in.
 - Bomotti will keep us updated on future updates.

Standing Agenda Items

- Issues from Community
 - Follow-up of discussion started at June meeting (linked to the next item)
 - Summary of July Regents meeting related to UCPD (Note: we understand the Board of Regents will have a discussion about policing in the UC and the outcome of that discussion will be important for the Advisory Board to understand and discuss)
 - Bomotti only found a meeting occurring in late July regarding UCPD from the Compliance and Audit Committee occurring on July 29th.
 - Title is "UC Community Safety." Unsure what discussions will be had, length detail or what is on the agenda as one has not been shared. There will possibly be public comment available during open session. They might listen to input through the public comment.

- Might be a possibility for us to capture some of that information for us. Maybe a link will be shared.
 - Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs Brian Haynes commented that he was part of an earlier conference call with UCOP that touched on this upcoming meeting, what Gerry mentioned is what most likely is expected. Discussion about University policing through the broad UC perspective.
- Bomotti provided the following document through email prior to the meeting:
 - Background Information:
 - Presidential Task Force on University wide Policing - Final Implementation Report:
 - https://ucirvine-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/simss_ad_uci_edu/ETg3yIcIJD1GmxBEjXG37QIBmV9e3Eiwwb0eZQerCTGaSQ?e=Jef1ks
 - Note that you will need this password to open the link above: 2020UPTF
 - Background information on why committees like this one were formed. Referenced KB Recommendations for UC Policing.
 - UC Academic Senate Recommendations for UC Policing:
 - [recommendations by the UC Academic Senate](#)
- Response to Student Leaders based on discussion points from previous meeting
 - Haynes acknowledged members Mariam Lam and Christine Mata who are a part of other student committees on campus and participating in discussing this topic.
 - There is still unrest occurring from the May/June protests and such. A major area of focus has been on University policing actions.
 - Several meetings have occurred with student leaders on June 10 and 12.
 - The tone and message of these meetings was captured in the Chancellor's email on July 14th. This email also articulated next possible steps.
 - Where are we?
 - Referencing back to non-agenda item: Chancellor is pulling a committee of diverse stakeholders. They want to reimagine the role of policing on a university campus. Accountability is important.
 - Discussion about resource allocation or re-allocation from UCPD. This has been a major topic of conversation over the past few months.
 - Using skills of de-escalation, reallocating resources to mental health professional and others to case a wider net for campus safety.
 - Weapons on campus, and students not feeling safe.
 - Possibility of educational programs for UCPD and the entire campus population.
 - Anti-Racism, profiling, anti-blackness, social justice.
 - A work group was established a year ago.
 - They have had a number of formal and informal conversations and a wide range of possibilities on the table.
 - Any items that this Board could recommend through discussion can be given back to Gerry or Chancellor, or others.
 - These discussions over the past few months have led us to come together to move the needle on positive change on our campus as it relates to campus policing.

- Dean of Students Christine Mata mentioned that they are currently working with students and what programming should look like in the Fall, starting in October or before.
 - Exploring an approach to that in a remote environment to make it effect for students of all levels so there can be fruitful discussion.
 - Listening, revisiting programs and trying to design new ways of having this discussion.
- Associate Vice Chancellor & Chief Diversity Officer Mariam Lam mentioned that she and Community and Local Government Relations Jeff Kraus have been following the city/county/state/country conversation because the decisions [outside agencies make] affect campus as well.
 - Asked Bomotti if he could table the two documents on the agenda [Presidential Task Force on University Wide Policing – Final Implementation Report and UC Academic Senate Recommendations for UC Policing] given the Chancellors comments and planning [as referenced in the Non-Agenda Section of the minutes]. Not sure if it's wise to repeat information if this committee may be redone due to this.
 - A 2018 report was referenced, Lam asked if it could be given out. Bomotti agreed and will give out copies to the members of the committee.
- Student representative Victor Marroquin asked about different groups on this board, who is invited to come to such meetings, such as: underground scholars, other students such as student representative KP from previous meeting.
 - Students need to have agency on how they can have input on future items/community information.
 - An example was giving about another instance in which a student was profiled on campus and UCPD was called on them.
 - [Audio connection was clipping; some items were hard to discern and write down.]
- Bomotti mentioned a lot of people are giving input to the Chancellor on representation, such as the Police Chief search. Haynes was kind enough on thinking how to get appropriate student input balanced against the search committee.
 - How do we have a search committee or elements of stakeholders that can interview other candidates. Possibility of starting the search and receiving input from campus.
 - Lozano gave the idea of following the model that Residential Life uses when they hire Resident Directors in the way they have multiple interviews with different stakeholders that they will be interacting with on a regular basis.
- Ombudsman Andrew Larratt-Smith had shared ideas from a document he had emailed Bomotti. Bomotti apologized for not sharing the document with the Board as a whole. Larratt-Smith sent them out to the Board while discussing that this Board should be thinking, talking and clarifying items such as Black or students of color and the relationship they have with Police Departments, compared to other demographics or communities.
 - What would it take for Black faculty, students, staff that have experienced negative interactions to feel that they are walking on campus and that UCPD is there for them.
 - How do we make this shift of getting from A to B, to where UCPD are looking out for them and not to oppress them.

- Bomotti was impressed with the document and thoughts shared and would want feedback from the Board.
- Proposed Community Resource Officer (CRO) Concept
 - Bomotti mentioned that the idea for a Community Resource Officer came before COVID and the current national events about policing. It has been discussed at previous police advisory board meetings and there have been requests for more information.
 - Traditionally, officers ride bikes on campus and engage with the campus community this way.
 - This CRO position would partner with the student liaison positions in order to help UCPD establish a bilateral two-way communication and allowing students and the community to give feedback about UCPD, officers in regard to what students like, dislike, concerns, questions and issues. How do we accomplish this has been a question.
 - Bomotti reiterated that the CRO is a concept, as is the student Liaison position. If it is moved forward, the following concepts were thought about before current events unfolded.
 - There would be a recruitment for this position. It would not be a Campus Safety Officer (CSO) as shown by the Job Description [JD was emailed to Board members before meeting].
 - What is a CSO?
 - A CSO is a security position primarily.
 - The new position of the CRO is for students who would be interested in gathering information from the campus community and students – along with giving feedback and information back to the students.
 - It would be a paid position and go through a selection process.
 - The main goal would be to have them focus and help us improve our community student interaction.
 - The CRO would not represent UCPD. They would represent the students.
 - Bomotti mentioned that we don't always understand the views from students in different groups in relation to the police. What do students' value? What do students' want to improve? There are times when UCPD does not know what these points are, and this can be a way of having a two-way partnership with the students.
 - Lam commented that as former Chief of Police Mike Lane and Interim Chief of Police John Freese have told the Board many times, the community policing model already existed on campus prior to the UC Davis pepper spray incident in 2011, although there have not been any bike patrols on campus.
 - Community Policing was removed after this incident.
 - All subsequent discussions have ended with students still being opposed to it.
- Viewing Police as Aid and Not Oppress
 - Marroquin brought about the question about do we get students to view police as aid and not to oppress?
 - Marroquin was thankful to the comments and acknowledgement given by Larratt-Smith about his [Larratt-Smith] experience with PD is different than the one that he has grown up with.

- A traumatic experience was shared about PD interaction as he was growing up. Marroquin believes that we have to ground ourselves on a global communal level.
 - Officers need accountability and has transitioned from that to defund the police.
 - Statistics were shared that the new incoming class/students through online orientation icebreakers; a large portion that they have surveyed through multiple orientations believe in defunding the police.
- Defund/Demilitarize Police.
 - Lam addressed the Defund/Demilitarize Police ideas.
 - The Board needs to have concrete steps, but those steps are complicated.
 - The Chancellor has not been able to hold that conversation yet due to investigating the jurisdictional issues UC vs. UCR authority has over police operations.
 - Students may not have considered what exactly does Defund the Police mean?
 - We also have Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) with Riverside Police Department and Riverside County Sheriff.
 - Students have a general perception of what these are. Riverside PD (RPD) and County Sheriff (RCS) cannot stop that.
 - In order for a conversation addressing Defund/Demilitarize the Police to occur, we need to move the conversation and the mechanics. We need to have a different group of stakeholders that can allow us to move to the complex conversations that are more difficult.
- MOUs and Jurisdiction
 - Bomotti asked Chief Campus Counsel David Bergquist to give his take on jurisdictional issues.
 - All three entities (UCPD, RPD and RCS) have jurisdiction over University property.
 - There is currently an MOU with RPD. If UCPD requires assistance with a violent situation on campus, they might call RPD for support.
 - We have jurisdiction over our property since we have our own Police Department.
 - Freese commented that we take authority for this because of the California Penal Code.
 - The Chancellor has the authority to have a Police Department on campus.
 - Freese also mentioned the State Mutual Aid, and how it is available for unexpected events.
 - This is a process halfway used in requesting assistance, and there is particular process in doing so to request such assistance from neighboring law enforcement agencies.
 - We last saw this on campus during the Regents meeting in 2012.
 - Under State law, UCPD will handle all police services for UC jurisdiction.
 - Larratt-Smith asked about the MOU complexity. If other agencies come on to campus, would they operate under their own agency principles/rules, or the ones followed and enacted by UCPD? Should we examine the MOU to see this?

- Freese let the Board know that the Incident Command Structure (ICS) exists for this particular purpose.
 - The ICS dictates for agencies requesting mutual aid how the command and control of the situation is followed when other agencies assist.
 - An Incident Commander makes all the decision.
 - The Use of Force comes from each individual agency/officer.
 - If UCPD were to request mutual aid, we maintain control for how the other agencies operate within the incident.
 - Given the nature of dynamic situations, it can be a challenge to maintain along with communications.
 - For example, in School Shootings, agencies self-deploy an ICS and command control is a challenge given that situation.
 - Reiteration that there is a structure in place from the start to maintain control of what will occur.
 - Bomotti gave the example that if UCPD did not exist, there would be a different structure in place, along with separate arrangements for police services. For example, contracting out policing to the county Sheriff.
- UCPD Training and Services
 - Graduate Student Association President Niche Yes commented that Police are doing a lot in some regards. UC needs to be a safer place for students.
 - Is there a possibility that this can be done with more training?
 - Perhaps we have hired officers into a department that is already over stressed, and they cannot focus properly due to outside stress?
 - For example, students going to UCPD for Lost and Found.
 - Why is this at UCPD?
 - Why not at the HUB or other places and move the proper funding from UCPD to other areas on campus.
 - Freese mentioned that UCPD has become a collection point for many things people do not want to deal with.
 - Freese is open to moving or sharing the burden of such things.
 - UCPD shared the burden of responding to all mental health calls.
 - They would want to share this with others who can also handle such situations.
 - UCPD is open to discussion sharing such burdens [services] and not being the sole point of contact for lesser things like described (such as Lost and Found).
 - Bomotti mentioned that Berkeley recently moved a lot of services/functions out of their PD to other campus departments like Emergency Services.
 - They moved out of their current department building in the middle of campus to somewhere alongside the edge.
 - People could possibly look at moves like that or organizational adjustments.
- Community Policing and Practices
 - Bergquist mentioned community policing that does not occur on campus, but rather adjacent to the campus.
 - Freese spoke about how the PD embraces the term Community Policing. They have heard the negative connotation, but in the 25+ years he [Freese] has been here, they have carried it out.

- Officers try to engage with the community in a personal way in order to know the community itself.
 - Example was given about how years ago; officers were assigned to different locations on campus. Officers would get to know the community and there was a back and forth of communication.
 - This is the idea they have for Community Policing.
- Marroquin spoke about the sentiments of students and that they are not separated from the issue.
 - An example was given about Oban Family Housing and how they had to have a petition in order not to be kicked out of their residence.
 - There seems to be this idea that UCPD and RPD know how to make a difference within the community, and it doesn't seem to have made a difference or have worked.
 - Example was given of students being pulled over and handcuffed on the sidewalk.
 - Marroquin believes that UCPD does not care about students, highlighting how from the previous meeting, he is the only student representative from the individuals he had invited (Darielle Martin, Kalin Pont-Tate and Yvonne Marquez).
 - Marroquin subsequently left the meeting after expressing his opinions and comments on UCPD and feedback to the Board itself.
- ASUCR President Luis Huerta followed after Marroquin had left and gave his perspective.
 - Huerta mentioned how his personal experience with UCPD has been positive, but it is not the same experience that every student has shared based on many factors.
 - It's important to know that students have different upbringings with different experiences.
 - Huerta believes that the calls for Defunding UCPD does not translate to abolish. UCPD provides critical services for UCR.
 - There are a number of complexities to ensure that UCPD can operate at full capacity, and student safety is central to this, for example removing biases from the hiring process.
 - We need to tackle the complexities of UCPD relations with students.
 - UCPD does have a lot of authority and power. Vectors previous comments were echoed.
 - Conversations we have need to be intentional in order to get student input.
 - Consistently surveying students
 - Town Halls
 - They may be polarizing, but an open dialogue needs to occur.
- Yes commented about similar lines moving forward, and the fear of the Community Policing model.
 - It sounds perfect in an idealized world.
 - However, the reality since coming to Riverside is that she has been subjected to violence, even by UCPD.
 - Yes would not feel comfortable and needs to find a way to heal.
 - What can UCPD do to help with healing?
 - Possibility of interacting with UCPD on a more level playing field?

- Lam reiterated what students were saying, and from the position of the current demands does not think its strategic and in the interest of the public to initiate an offer of community policing.
 - Students have to propose different models that could work.
- Proposed Community Resource Officer (CRO) assignments [continued]
 - Bomotti mentioned that this is a general discussion they have had since last Fall.
 - He wanted to share the CRO for people’s interest, given that this was done before COVID and there had been requests about it being discussed, as well as concerns about it.
 - This is just information, and it may or may not be a solution moving forward with officers and students.
 - This is not a recommendation to move forward.
 - Mata let the Board know that we need to be careful of the information we put out there.
 - This may not be appropriate setting for that [CRO/Community Policing] right now. However, students may be able to guide us towards the right model.
 - Perhaps we can be more prudent in what we share, and what we hold back. We can delve deeper. Students are heightened, and rightfully so. We need to be sensitive what we put out there as a group and give attention to this aspect.
 - Bomotti pointed out that this Board or different from the one that the Chancellor puts, but this is not just a student group but a mix of stakeholders.
- UCPD Statistical Data
 - Faculty Welfare Committee representative Brian Federici asked if we have had any surveys of students before, and how do we establish what they’re opinions are?
 - Haynes mentioned that he was not aware of any data gathering or initiatives with that respect regarding UCPD.
 - Federici followed up that as part of his career, surveys are interesting. Few people respond at times, and when they don’t, it shows their dissatisfaction. Those who have issues will participate and give it in detail.
 - A lot of opinions are being mentioned, but they are not data based.
 - Freese mentioned that there was a client satisfaction survey done 5-6 years ago in which he was the Chair of the Board that put it together. They received a lot of responses from the faculty, a couple hundred from students. Responses were positive from staff, negative from students.
 - The following year they gave the survey to a firm near UCPD to conduct the surveys. They received some more responses.
- *[Bomotti had to leave the meeting exactly at 4:00 p.m. due to another meeting. At this point the Zoom Meeting was inadvertently ended and all participants were dropped. Half the group was able to rejoin afterwards. Bomotti and Freese discussed the transition of the Zoom meeting since both were co-chairs, but it did not work out as expected.]*
 - Director of Risk Management Ingrid Fahr spoke about survey fatigue, the why, what and the objectives, along with the follow through. If there is no follow-through, we open Pandora’s box and don’t deliver. Survey taking is more than just gathering information and what we do with the information.

- Perhaps if we do a survey, we don't make it overly general, break it down, take the analysis and follow it through deeper guides making sure that we follow through and that there is trust established – creating an idea of “here's how we are going to change things.”
- Lozano followed with Fahr's comments that we need to make sure we have a goalpost of what we are trying to achieve with a survey, but also reaching those students that normally do not participate in surveys in general. An example was given with ASUCR elections and how students don't tend to participate in them, but we need to make sure we gather a wide gamut response – not just from those that always participate.

Safety & Crime Prevention Updates

Due to ½ the Board being inadvertently dropped, Interim Chief John Freese elected to hold the following informational items for the broader Board to receive as a whole during the next meeting:

- 7/7 UCPD Crime Alert: Shooting Occurred Near Campus – Suspect Arrested
- Update on Bwogi case
- UCR PD website update

Next Meeting

TBD

Motion to adjourn was made by Interim Chief John Freese at 4:13 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Johnathan Lozano

Board Member, UCR Campus Community Police Advisory Board

MEETING MINUTES

UCR Campus Community Police Advisory Board 06/18/2020

Join Zoom Meeting

Board Members in attendance:

Gerry Bomotti (Vice Chancellor of Planning Budget & Administration and Chair)

Brian Haynes (Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs)

Andrew Larratt-Smith (Ombudsmen)

John Freese (Assistant Chief of Police)

Jeff Kraus (Community & Local Government Relations)

David Bergquist (Chief Campus Counsel of Legal Affairs)

Mariam Lam (Associate Vice Chancellor & Diversity Officer)

Emeritus Professor Brian Federici attended in place of Professor Wenbo Ma (Faculty Welfare Committee)

Ingrid C Fahr (Director of Risk Management)

Johnathan Lozano (UCR Staff Assembly)

Rocio Munante (Diversity Council) and Victor Marroquin (diversity Council)

Meeting Minutes:

-Gerry started the meeting at 3:00 p.m.

-Gerry reviewed the committee members

Victor Marroquin introduced: thee individuals he invited to the meeting.

- -Darielle Martin – BSU Internal VP
- -Kalin Pont-Tate (KP) – BSU Co-Chair
- -Yvonne Marquez – Incoming Diversity Council Co-Chair

Gerry noted that he had a request for a representative to be included on the board from the Black Faculty and Staff Association

Mike Lane Retirement and Update on Chief's Position

-Gerry announced Mike Lane's retirement, the delayed search for a permanent chief and John Freese's assignment to the interim chief position

Updated information in implementation of the UC Police task force recommendations

-There are 28 recommendations provided by the UPTF

-There are 4 recommendations we are working on finalizing which should be complete in the next several weeks.

Update on Protest downtown and campus activities

-Gerry summarized the recent protest activity that had occurred in downtown Riverside.

-Gerry directed the board to the attached document on the proposed UC Davis Task Force on Next Generation Reforms to Advance Campus Safety.

-Gerry will send the board a related UCB document

-Gerry asked for thoughts on policing at UCR – The following provided input:

-Victor Marroquin shared the following:

-Requested an update on detention of a student of color one year ago

-UCR is touted as diverse and having high social mobility, but has the lowest income students, thus we have to be transparent with students about the potential for encounters with police here

-The June 2019 town hall was mentioned and updates requested

-How are we going to be intentional about UCPD being accountable?

-Gerry noted that it was on agenda to provide an update on the Bwogi incident and told the board the independent review of the Bwogi incident should be released soon.

-KP asked about the new chief recruitment

-How will students be chosen to be involved in the selection process?

-How will John Freese be introduced to the students?

-Regarding the UCPD budget, how much is planned for the coming fiscal year?

-Gerry responded by saying that he would ask Brian Hanes to work on appropriate student involvement in the process. Students will be involved in the chief search committee and interview process. Brian Haynes will coordinate student selection.

-Brian Haynes recommended the Diversity Council and Black Student Alliance should be involved in the chief selection as well.

-KP stated that opportunities for other students to be involved on the chief selection as well.

-Gerry provided a brief overview of the UCPD budget.

-Jonathan Lozano asked what this group can do to make changes to UCPD.

-Gerry advised that UCPD authority is technical and involves POST regulations and state laws.

-Darielle Martin asked about the following:

-Implementing better suspect descriptions in crime reports

-Mike Lane responded and explained that issue has been addressed in our recent crime alerts

-Andrew Larratt-Smith shared his interpretations of national police events and said he would send in his comments.

-Rocio Munante shared her ideas about community oriented policing:

-Students have strong ideas about this issue and any increase in police presence on campus

-More police make students feel unsafe

-DACA student concerns

-Jeff Kraus recommended that we should include Riverside City police in these discussions, as many students live in the city.

-Yvonne Marquez asked about an incident where UCPD responded to a student parent who left their underage child unattended in a library.

-Mike responded that he was aware of that incident, situations like that with children are challenging and he could not make specific comments about that incident.

-John Freese introduced himself to the board

-Victor Marroquin expressed the following concerns:

-Some students do not feel safe in the Riverside community due to perceptions of a presence of white supremacists in the community and on campus.

-He has concerns about UCPD funding.

-Gerry expressed his support for UCPD and affirmed that we need our own police department.

-Gerry acknowledged that there are legitimate grievances that must be addressed.

-Gerry said it is tough to be a police officer and balance everyone's rights.

-Gerry suggested that the board may need to meet more frequently to provide adequate time for discussions, perhaps monthly meetings for some time.

-Ingrid Fahr suggested advisory board sub-groups to explore issues and meetings every other month.

-KP suggested we need ideas for improving campus safety.

-He suggested there is a sentiment that the campus does not need a police department.

-We need to be open to looking at alternatives to providing for campus safety.

-Gerry concluded the meeting at 4:04 p.m. and told the group to send him any thoughts or ideas that were not shared.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary 02/28/2020 meeting
Hinderaker 1127
Noon - 1:00pm (lunch provided)

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Crime Trends & Alerts	Mike Lane
Issues from Neighboring Communities	Jeff Kraus
University-wide Policing Tasks Force	Gerry Bomotti and Mike Lane
Safety & Crime Prevention	Mike Lane
Police Services Concerns	Mike Lane
UCPD Community Outreach & Opportunities	Mike Lane
Round Table	All

Attendees: Michael Lane, Jonathan Lazano (Staff Assembly), Julian Gonzalez (ASUCR President), Arielle Maganiello (GSA Proxy), Andrew Larratt-Smith (Ombudsman), Jeff Kraus (Government Relations), Christine Mata (Dean of Students), Gerry Bomotti (VC PB&A), Mariam Lam (AVC Diversity Equity & Inclusion)

Chief Lane provide an update on crime trends on campus and he answered questions regarding a case at the Falkirk property involving a man impersonating a Facilities worker and entering apartments. Chief Lane said the suspect found a Facilities maintenance work sheet, and used these materials to impersonate a Facilities worker. He knocked on doors pretending to fix listed plumbing or electrical issues. During the course of these contacts the suspect stole property, but was located and arrested by UCPD. Facilities was informed against leaving materials out and unattended. A UCPD Community Service Officer patrol at Falkirk was is in place to detect and deter criminal activity.

Chief Lane thanked the board members for reviewing the University Policing Task Force's (UPTF) draft system-wide UCPD complaint policy, Complaint form and FAQ's. A very special thanks was given to board member Lazano regarding the feedback he submitted. Chief Lane noted the board's review and feedback will be sent to the UPTF for consideration.

Mariam Lam sent committee members PDF on the University Policing Task Force Committee's purpose and charge. She also updated Chief Lane on 3 new subjects the UPTF will be asked to review and/or provide recommendations on regarding (1) campus security cameras; (2) campus crime alerts that include suspect descriptions; and (3) the means by which police personnel can report internal misconduct within UCPD. She included the original charge and mission of the UPTF from 2018.

Gerry Bomotti informed the committee that a set of recommendations will be shared in the Spring Quarter on some options and alternatives to how UCPD can engage the campus community, and we will make sure to have good outreach to the campus community to gather input. It includes ideas about their community engagement philosophy; the proposed creation

of a community engagement center at the residence hall; and officers on motorcycles who are community resource officers.

Gerry Bomotti noted that a proposal for the creation of dismount zones for wheeled devices (to include bikes, motorized scooters, skate boards, etc.) on campus is being developed. It will be proposed to campus stakeholders for feedback and recommendation. Transportation and Parking Services is leading these planning efforts. This proposal will include ideas and options for what routes wheeled devices could use to navigate the campus, and this is all based on what many other institutions like ours have done in the past (and for safety reasons). Part of this overall proposal will include information on options and signage against reckless skateboarding on campus and for posting on campus. This will be followed by a plan to educate the community on the policy against reckless skateboarding that can be enforced by UCPD if needed. Reckless skateboarding has created a safety hazard and property damage on campus, and the campus signage plan must be integrated with the pedestrian zone plans.

There was a concern raised about RTA and chartered buses parking on Canyon Crest Drive (in front of Softball Fields) and blocking bike lanes. It was noted the City and RTA needs to fix or resolve this issue.

A discussion took place about who can use body cameras (besides UCPD). What if a staff member wants to wear body cameras? Chief Lane explained the UCPD has not control over this and anyone is free to record in public areas. There was question about the UCR policy on installing cameras on campus. Chief Lane explained the current interim policy requires departments to make a request that is reviewed/processed by ITS, Facilities and UCPD. This group collectively ensures standards on the camera type/specifications and placement (in open public areas only) before installation. There was a concern raised as to whether or not there should be a more formal central approval for such cameras vs the more decentralized process used now.

A discussion took place about UCPD ability to arrest people for trespassing. Chief Lane explained that 626.6 of the California Penal code gives UCPD the authority to issue a warning against trespassing that is good for 7 days. This is determined by law and specifically for UC campuses. One person suggested this law should be re-evaluated and strengthened against re-offenders. Chief Lane explained that if an individual commits illegal acts repetitively, UCPD can create a strong case against them with the District Attorney.

Chief Lane discussed the 01-31-20 Black Student Task Force Bridging the Gap event (see flyer). He encouraged the board to review UCPD's Instagram for community engagement projects/outreach https://www.instagram.com/ucrpolicydept/?utm_source=ig_embed. Chief Lane invited the board to participate in UCPD's ride along programs and the 03/04/20 UCPD & Facilities Sponsored Safety Walk at UCPD 5:30 pm <https://facilities.ucr.edu/event-list/2020/03/04/volunteer-spring-2020-campus-safety-walk>.

BSTF X UCPD
PRESENTS

BRIDGING THE
GAP

JANUARY 31, 2020
HUB 379 | 7:00PM-8:30PM

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 11/14/19 meeting
Hinderaker 1127
Noon - 1:00pm (lunch provided)

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Review of the purpose of the Board	Gerry Bomotti and Mike Lane
Crime Trends & Alerts	Mike Lane and John Freese
Issues from Neighboring Communities	Jeff Kraus
University-wide Policing Tasks Force	Gerry Bomotti and Mike Lane
Safety & Crime Prevention	Mike Lane
Police Services Concerns	Mike Lane
UCPD Community Outreach & Opportunities	Mike Lane
Round Table	All

Attendees: Mike Lane, John Freese, John-Stephen Henderson (UCR Human Resources), Yvonne Chamberlin-Marquez (UCR Diversity Counsel), Arielle Maganiello (GSA Proxy), Julian Gonzalez (ASUCR President), Mariam Lam (AVC Diversity & Inclusion), Jeff Kraus (UCR Government Relations), Brian Federici (Academic Senate), Brain Haynes (VC Student Affairs) Andrew Larratt-Smith (Ombudsmen), David Bergquist (Campus Counsel), Ingrid Fahir (Risk Management), Gerry Bomotti (VC PB&A),

Brief Review the Committee’s Purpose for Newcomers

Chief Lane and VC Bomotti explained that among goals of this board are recommendations to the UCPD in the areas of:

- Strengthening trust between the police department and campus community.
- Campus concerns and perception about UCPD.
- Issues affecting public safety on campus and adjacent neighborhood.
- Crime prevention/reduction.
- Community engagement ideas and programs.
- Opportunities and ideas on improving the delivery of police services to the UCR Community.

Issues from Community and Police Service Concerns

Chief Lane provided a provided a brief update the University’s review of UCPD’s arrest of a student over the summer that led to a town hall event where concerns about UCPD were expressed by students of color. Chief Lane and Gerry Bomotti explained UCPD’s internal review of this incident determined the officers’ actions were within policy and there is an external review will take place regarding this incident to provide recommendations for UCPD if any. When this report is completed it will be shared (subject to any redaction as required). A question was asked about UCPD’s use of force review process which was explained by Chief Lane. He noted that all use of force incidents are reviewed by the department even if a citizen’s complaint is note filed. Chief Lane referred the board to the complaint form available on the UCPD website <https://police.ucr.edu/document/file-complaint>

Chief Lane noted that UCPD officers are now equipped with body worn camera and have dash cameras in patrol cars to assist with transparency and as evidence in criminal cases. Chief Lane took questions

about video footage. He explained that activations of video occurs for service calls; enforcement activity and citizen contacts. Chief Lane explained there are reasonable exceptions regarding the use of BWC during interviews with crime victims. He explained that depending upon the case or criminal filing, the District Attorney's Office may set video retention/release requirements for UCPD. The release of footage to the public will be determined upon a public records request and legal review process.

University Policing Task Force

Chief Lane explained that In 2017 President Napolitano created a UC Policing Taskforce to provide UCPD with system-wide recommendations/guidance in the areas of police complaint and review process; training/policies; use-of-force and community engagement/advisory boards <https://www.ucop.edu/policing-task-force/>. Chief Lane provided the committee with a chart of the UCPD's progress on the 28 recommendations the taskforce developed.

Safety & Crime Prevention Updates

Chief reminded the Committee about what to do during an active shooter video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=raoiDLn0I8&feature=youtu.be> Crime stats/trends were also shared.

Police Service Concerns

Chief Lane noted that arrest of a student of color caused concerns with some members of the community and reiterated that he and the department would engage in dialogue with our community. Chief Lane will work with Vice Chancellors Bomotti and Haynes to identify such opportunities.

- There was an opinion that UCPD presence, within the campus core, causes concerns with students of color who may feel triggered by the presence of law enforcement.
- There was an opinion that perhaps UCPD should be unarmed.
- There was an opinion that now is not the right time for more police presence on campus and that some students may react with a strong sense of displeasure.
- There was an idea about creating safe spaces for student and UCPD interact with the community.
- There was an opinion that UCPD's first step is listening and learning about the student experience.
- A question about what police engagement looks like on other campuses arose. Chief Lane and AVC Lam noted it varies depending on each campus' dynamics. Chief noted that all UCPD's are interested in community engagement opportunities.
- There was an opinion that opportunities for UCPD engagement/partnership could be done through Staff Assembly, self-defense classes hosted by UCPD and Alumni Association.
- There was a discussion about restorative justice mechanisms and discretion on when students are arrested for crimes. It was shared by Chief Lane that police don't always have discretion in criminal cases.

Chief Lane also noted that UCPD and campus is dealing with complaints and concerns related to reckless skateboarding on campus. UCPD is working with Vice Chancellor Bomotti on the idea of creating pedestrian zones to lessen collisions between pedestrians, bicyclists and other wheeled device riders on campus.

Police & Community Partnership Opportunities:

Vice Chancellor Bomotti and Chief Lane asked for this committee's help on how to improve or develop more community engagement with UCPD, and if there are better ways for police to interact on campus.

Chief Lane and Associate Vice Chancellor Lam summarized a meeting that took place between UCPD and UCR Ethnic & Gender organizations on 11/13/19. Opportunities for community engagement were discussed and included ride-alongs with UCPD; planned programs/events between officers and organizations (whereby officers could be in plain clothes); and most importantly training/orientation opportunities for UCPD with regards to student's perspective and history of law enforcement. AVC Lam is going to lead an effort to develop orientation or training for UCPD officers for consideration.

Vice Chancellor Bomotti and Chief Lane spoke about how the desired presence of UCPD (on the interior of campus) began to change after some noteworthy events. These events included the Occupy Movement in 2010-2011; UCD pepper spray incident in 2011 and subsequent recommendations from the Office of the President for UCPD (Robinson Edley Report); and 2012 UC Riverside Regents meeting riot.

Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Lane provided examples how UCPD has partnered with Student Affairs or other campus departments to help students in need of assistance. This also includes helping students with matters before they potentially rise to a serious safety or criminal issues. UCPD wants to get a very broad range of feedback on these issues – it is important for the department to hear from all areas of the campus that include faculty, staff and students.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 03/12/19 meeting
 03/12/19 at Hinderaker Room #154 (basement)
 3:00 p.m.-4:00 p.m.

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Refresher on Mission/Purpose of the Board	Gerry Bomotti and Mike Lane
Crime Trends & Alerts	Mike Lane and John Freese
Issues from Neighboring Communities	Jeff Kraus
University-wide Policing Tasks Force	Gerry Bomotti and Mike Lane
Safety & Crime Prevention	Mike Lane
Police Services Concerns	Mike Lane
UCPD Community Outreach & Opportunities	Mike Lane
Round Table	All

Attendees: Mike Lane, John Freese, Joe Virata, Ellen Whitehead, Kristin Branson, Shelia Hedayati, Gerry Bomotti, Daniel Jeske, David Bergquist, Andrew Larratt-Smith, Jeff Kraus, Julie Salgado, Mariam Lam, Shawn Regan

Gerry Bomotti was introduced as the new Chair of the Committee. He and Mike Lane re-introduced the mission and purpose of the advisory board which was created on 2017 by former Vice Chancellor Ron Coley (the Mission Statement of the advisory board was sent to all attendee on 03/08/19). Gerry Bomotti noted that members could reach out to him about any questions or concerns related to UCPD services and support.

Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese provided a year-end 2018 report on UCPD community engagement activities, investigations and campus crime trend charts (violent and property crimes for the last 5 years). 2017 versus 2018 crime data shows an overall drop in reported crimes (see attachment #1). Assistance Chief provided a summary of UCPD safety alerts, investigative updates and crime prevention tips

Jeff Kraus provided updates on issues from neighboring communities that included loud parties and issue related to motorized scooters (i.e. Bird and Lime scooters). David Bergquist and Gerry Bomotti followed up on the impact of Bird and Lime scooters on campus and pending actions by the City and/or University to address their uncontrolled emergence on campus. Chief Lane noted that UCPD is monitoring incidents related to these devices on campus and involved with campus leadership discussions about developing dismount zones for wheeled devices to reduce the risk of collision related injuries.




Chief Lane spoke about the University-wide Police Task Force (UPTF) and progress on the recommendations for UCPD related to the complaint process, Use-of-force, Advisory Boards, Community Engagement, Training and Transparency. The board was provided the UCOP link regarding the UPTF https://www.ucop.edu/policing-task-force/policing-task-force-report_2019.pdf

Assistant Chief Freese addressed community concerns and questions related to UCPD crime alerts and referred to the interview he conducted regarding this topic

<https://insideucr.ucr.edu/stories/2018/11/30/why-do-campus-police-send-out-crime-alerts>

During the round table session, a board member expressed a desire to see more officers on bicycle patrol of which Chief Lane acknowledged the department will attempt to do more of.

Attachment #1



UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 10/08/18 meeting

Surge Room 308 3:30pm – 4:30pm

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Crime Trends & Crime Alerts	Chief Lane
Safety & Crime Prevention	Chief Lane
Police Service Concerns	Chief Lane & Joe Virata
Issues from neighboring communities	Chief Lane
Police & Community Related Opportunities	Chief Lane

Attendees: Mike Lane, Ellen Whitehead, Julie Salgado, Semi Cole, David Bergquist, Andrew Larratt-Smith, Daniel Jeske, Jeff Kraus, Tasha Hudson, Shawn Regan, Nicole Butts

Chief Lane provided on-campus crime trend charts to the committee and updates on previously reported crimes/incidents. The 2018 Annual Security & Fire Safety Report for UCR was also discussed and provided. He also shared updates on pedestrian safety education and enforcement by UCPD and discussed emerging issues related to motorized scooters on campus.

Chief Lane discussed the formation of a system-wide University Police Task Force that is developing recommendations related to use-of-force, UCPD policies, community engagement and police transparency. Chief Lane will share recommendations with the committee and encourage input.

Chief Lane shared information about the “Cops for kids Donation Center”. UCPD is working in partnership with the Inteli-center, ASUCR and UCR Child Development Center to gather and deliver toys to young patients at the Loma Linda Children’s Hospital.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 07/25/18 meeting

Surge Room 308 10:00am- 11:00am

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Crime Trends & Crime Alerts	Chief Lane
Safety & Crime Prevention	Chief Lane
Police Service Concerns	Chief Lane & Joe Virata
Issues from neighboring communities	Chief Lane
Police & Community Related Opportunities	Chief Lane

Attendees: Mike Lane, Al Vasquez, Ron Coley, Daniel Jeske Joe Virata

Chief Lane informed the committee that UCPD was involved in an officer involved shooting at Watkins/Blaine on July 22nd. The officer responded to a shots fired called and when confronted by a suspect who pointed a handgun at him. An officer involved shooting occurred. The Officer was not hurt and the investigation is on-going.

Chief Lane shared UCR's intent to transition from an opt-in to an opt-out enrollment into the campus emergency notification system <https://emergency.ucr.edu/ENS>. The committee wanted to know if parents could receive emergency notifications, but the campus at this time is not prepared to do. The committee requested more training from UCPD on active shooter and Chief Lane provided information on in-person training provided by UCPD officers and the active shooter training video on the UCPD website <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=raoiDLn0I8&feature=youtu.be>. A committee member spoke about Nextdoor.com as a tool to help keep the neighboring community informed about crimes in the area.

Chief Lane was asked about skateboard enforcement and he explained the complexity of reckless skateboarding and motorized scooter enforcement on campus. He shared that UCR is exploring the creation of campus policies related to this that will be helpful.

Joe Virata and Chief Lane discussed UCPD and Students Affairs role regarding incidents involving students (conduct versus criminal). Chief Lane also led a conversation about the new marijuana laws and impacts to UCR <http://www.ucop.edu/marijuana-and-drug-policy/>.

Chief Lane informed the committee that UCPD is in the process of acquiring body worn cameras for patrol officers that includes new policies. The committee was supportive of body worn cameras for UCPD officers for transparency.

Chief Lane shared UCPD community engagement activities related to UCPD Pizza Appreciation Day between officers and Counseling & Psychological Services and UCPD partnership with UCR Summer Youth Programs.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 04/11/18 meeting

Surge Room 308 10:00am- 11:00am

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Crime Trends & Crime Alerts	Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese
Clery Act	Magaly Perez
Safety & Crime Prevention	Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese
Police Service Concerns	Chief Lane
Issues from neighboring communities	Chief Lane
Police & Community Related Opportunities	Chief Lane

Attendees: (Attendance roster is unavailable)

Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese discussed a string of lewd acts that occurred on and near UCR that were solved by an arrest. Chief Lane was asked to discuss safety and enforcement issues related to reckless skateboarding on campus which the committee agreed is a growing concern. Chief Lane was also asked to discuss the topic of active shooter and the campus emergency notification system (UCPD website includes a video on what students, faculty and/or staff should do).

Magaly Perez was announced as the Campus Clery Act and ADA compliance coordinator for UCR. She provided a brief explanation of the Clery Act and UC Riverside requirements related to reporting and notifications.

Chief Lane shared community engagement efforts by UCPD that included a meeting with Graduate Student Association; participation in the Read Across America program; hosting a student work internship program at UCPD with La Sierra High School students; UCPD participation in the campus Safety Culture Survey; and partnering with the ASUCR Vice President of Internal Affairs and Diversity Council on programming ideas between UCPD and students.

Local communities concerns included loud parties issues that UCPD and City of Riverside Police are addressing. UCPD works with Student Affairs to promote a "Good Neighbor Policy" to lessen the likelihood of conflicts between student renters and neighbors <https://conduct.ucr.edu/policies/good-neighbor-guidelines>.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 1/11/18 meeting

Surge Room 308 3:00pm- 4:00pm

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Crime Trends & Crime Alerts	Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese
Clery Act	Al Vasquez
Safety & Crime Prevention	Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese
Police Service Concerns	WRC Romanie Arterberry
Issues from neighboring communities	Chief Lane
Police & Community Related Opportunities	Chief Lane

Attendees:

Al Vasquez, Mike Lane, John Freese, Ricard Ho (guest), Belginy Molina (guest), Romanie Arterberry (guest), Denis Davis, Ron Coley, Mariam Lam, Shawn Regan, David Bergquist, Joe Virata, Julie Salgado, Michell Griffen

Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese shared crimes trends, safety issues, and updates on investigations that were publicly reported. There was a discussion regarding the slight rise in the number of reported sexual assaults. The UCR CARE and PD believe this could be attributed to a rise in awareness programs which encourage victims and survivors to report incidents. It was noted that about half of all reported sexual assaults cases are happening at the residence halls. Chief Lane reported a rise in bike thefts on campus and noted a rise in vehicle burglaries occurring near campus and provided crime prevention suggestions.

Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese spoke about the community engagement and crime prevention activities, workshops and programs (i.e. Zeta Phi Beta and UCPD “Know Your Rights” forum”; Emerson Elementary Blue Elves book reading event; UCPD Santa Claus and gift donations project).

Al Vasquez indicated UCR was in the process of hiring a new “Clery Compliance Officer” position and explained the various duties and tasks related to it.

The Women’s Resource Center brought guest speakers to discuss the importance of the WRC safety escort services and continued support from UCPD to assist. There was a discussion about the difference in uniforms between WRC safety escorts staff and UCPD Community Service Officers and the difference in services provided. Romanie Arterberry explained the partnership between WRC and UCPD and that both department provide the same level of professional service. Chief Lane agreed and will continue to have UCPD CSO’s assist with safety escorts during the off-hours of the WRC escort service.

UCR Police Department Campus Community Advisory Board Summary of 11/20/17 meeting

Surge Room 308 12:30-1:30pm

Agenda Topic	Presenter
Mission of Chiefs Advisory Board	Vice Chancellor Ron Coley
Clery Act	Chief Lane
Crime Trends & Crime Alerts	Chief Lane and Assistant Chief Freese
Police Service Concerns	Chief Lane
Issues from neighboring communities	Jeff Kraus
Police & Community Related Opportunities	Chief Lane

Attendees:

Al Vasquez, Mike Lane, John Freese, Andrew Larratt-Smith, Shawn Regan, Daniel Jeske, Mariam Lam, Nicole Butts, Julie Salgado, Aram Ayra, David Bergquist, Jeff Kraus

After the introductions Ron Coley and Chief Lane explained the reason why this advisory board was created and the difference between it and a Police Review Board:

- The advisory board was created proactively to help the police department achieve the goal of providing a secure and safe environment through professional service to the Community. Review boards are typically reactive and created after a pattern of poor or unstable issues with the police.

Ron Coley asked the committee to review the Chief's Campus Community Advisory Board charter and the standing agenda documents for feedback. Here were the following comments:

- A suggestion was made that perhaps the Chief should not be listed as a part of the committee but rather as a client of the committee's feedback. This was discussed further and the group concluded the Chief should remain as a part of the committee membership. The overall thought was that all members should be working together as a team sharing and exchanging information.
- For legal purposes, the UCR Ombudsman and UCR Campus Counsel preferred to be listed as "non-voting resources to the committee".
- An error was noted on the charter regarding the committee being made up of no more than 14 members. This will be removed.
- An error was noted on the charter regarding the listing of "UCPD Chief of Police or Designee" as a committee member. This will be replaced with "UCPD Chief of Police designee from UCPD".
- Dave Bergquist advised the charter should state if meetings are open to the public. This was discussed and decided to be closed to the public. Ron Coley requested that Dave Bergquist submit language for charter reflecting this ASAP.

There was a question about what the Chief wants from the committee:

- The Chief referred group to 2 page of the charter under “Purpose” section.

There was a discussion about whether or not quarterly meeting were enough:

- The group agreed to try and meet again in January. Ron Coley noted the committee can meet as often as is needed, but will meet no fewer than quarterly if possible.

Chief Lane presented a 4 slide PowerPoint regarding the Clery Act; on-campus crime trends; and facts about the quality and type of officers recruited/hired by the department.

- A copy of this PowerPoint and links to the UC Riverside 2017 Clery Annual Security Report will be sent to the committee.

Daniel Jeske was not on the original email invitation list and should be added.

Ron requested the University Neighborhood Enhancement Team (U.N.E.T.) is added to the next agenda.

- This was a result of questions related to how UCPD works with the City of Riverside Police Department.

Nothing further